

Announcements: • Posted notes from last three classes.

- Midterms available in MLC.
 - Will also have grades on Canvas.
- Final Exam is April 13.

Proof by Contradiction:

Suppose I want to prove a statement P

Pf: 1) Assume P is false

2) Use this assumption to get a contradiction.

That is, produce another statement S
and prove

$$\neg P \Rightarrow \underbrace{(S \wedge \neg S)}_{\text{contradiction}}$$

3) Conclude that $\neg P$ is false. So P is true.

This is
where the
work is

Prop: There is no smallest positive real number.

Pf: Suppose there is a [smallest positive real number.

Call it x .]

Consider the number $\frac{x}{2}$.

- It's positive.
- It's less than x , because $\frac{x}{2} < \frac{x}{2} + \frac{x}{2} = x$.

So, [$\frac{x}{2}$ is a positive real number smaller than x .]

This contradicts the assumption that x is the smallest positive real number! $\longrightarrow \longleftarrow$ indicates contradiction.

Therefore, our initial assumption was false.

Therefore, there is no smallest positive real number. ■

More generally, if I wanted to prove

Prop: There's no smallest blah.

Pf: Assume there is. Call it blah.

- Somehow construct a smaller blah₂
- Obtain a contradiction.

Ex: Division Algorithm.

Recall: The rational numbers are the set

$$\mathbb{Q} := \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } b \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Def: A real number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is called irrational if $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

Prop: The sum of an irrational number with a rational number, is irrational.

~~Ex~~ $\forall x$ irrational $\forall y$ rational, $(x+y \text{ is irrational.})$

Pf: Suppose $\exists x$ irrational $\exists y$ rational, such that $x+y$ is rational.

Write $y = \frac{a}{b}$ and $x+y = \frac{c}{d}$ ($a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$)

$$\text{Then } x = (x+y) - y = \frac{c}{d} - \frac{a}{b} = \frac{bc - ad}{bd}$$

So x is rational. This ~~contradicts~~ our assumption that x was irrational! $\longrightarrow \longleftarrow$

So our initial assumption was false. ■

(You can also do a direct proof. $\forall x, y, (y \text{ is rational} \wedge x+y \text{ is rational})$
 $\downarrow$
 $x \text{ is rational.}$)

Exercise: If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $(a \geq 2)$, then $P \Rightarrow Q$ $(a|b \text{ or } a|b+1.)$ Q $(a|b \text{ means "a doesn't divide b"})$

Proof: Assume $P \wedge \neg Q$. I.e.

$$\underline{a \geq 2} \text{ and } a|b \text{ and } a|b+1.$$

Write $b = ax$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$b+1 = ay \quad \text{---} \quad y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So then } 1 &= (b+1) - b = ay - ax \\ &= a(y-x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $a|1$. So $a=1$ or $a=-1$

We have a contradiction! $\longrightarrow \leftarrow$

Therefore, the proof is complete. $\blacksquare$

Note: You can also do proof by contrapositive.

Thm (Euclid, ~300 BCE): There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Pf: We first prove a Lemma.

Lemma: Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer.

Then n has a prime factor.

Proof: Proved in Class 14 (Feb 1).

(Used contradiction + WOP).

Suppose there are only finitely many primes.

2 is prime, so the set of primes is nonempty.

Set of all primes = $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$. for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider the number $N = p_1 p_2 \dots p_n + 1$.

- By the Lemma, N has a prime factor, p
- By the Exercise, $p_1 \nmid N, p_2 \nmid N, \dots, p_n \nmid N$.

So p is a prime and $p \in \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$.

Contradiction! $\longrightarrow \leftarrow$:D